

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
WESTERN DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
EDWARD BAGLEY, SR.,	)	No. 10-00244-02-CR-W-DW
BRADLEY COOK,	)	No. 10-00244-03-CR-W-DW
DENNIS HENRY,	)	
MICHAEL STOKES, and	)	
JAMES NOEL,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**ORDER**

Pending before the Court is Defendant Dennis Henry's Motion for Review of Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Bond (Doc. 61), and Defendant Bradley Cook's Motion to Revoke or Amend Magistrate Court's Order of Detention (Doc. 64). The Government opposes both motions, and the parties have submitted briefs in support of their respective positions.

On September 27, 2010, Magistrate Judge Robert E. Larsen held a hearing on Defendant Henry's motion for release on bond. On September 28, 2010, Magistrate Judge Larsen held a detention hearing with respect to Defendant Cook. Following those hearings, and after considering the evidence presented, Magistrate Judge Larsen entered two Orders. See Docs. 44, 52. Both Orders concluded that Defendant Henry and Defendant Cook should be detained pending trial. In particular, Magistrate Judge Larsen found by a preponderance of evidence that each Defendant poses a flight risk and that no single condition or combination of conditions of release would reasonably assure the appearance of either Defendant. See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e). Magistrate Judge Larsen also found by clear and convincing evidence that each Defendant poses

a danger to the community and that no single condition of release or combination of conditions of release would reasonably assure the safety of the community. Id. Magistrate Judge Larsen also judicially noticed the statutory presumption against release in light of the charges alleged. See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3).

This Court "reviews a magistrate judges's detention order *de novo*." United States v. Barkau, 2008 WL 2872215, at \* 1 (W.D. Mo. July 22, 2008). The Court has reviewed the record, and agrees with Magistrate Judge Larsen's findings and conclusions. See also United States v. Abad, 350 F.3d 793, 798-99 (8th Cir. 2003) (ordering detention in part because of the seriousness of the alleged crime and because the maximum sentence "weighs strongly in favor of finding [defendant] would be a flight risk"). Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Larsen's Order Denying Defendant Henry's Motion for Bond (Doc. 44) and Magistrate Judge Larsen's Detention Order with respect to Defendant Cook (Doc. 52) are AFFIRMED; it is further

ORDERED that Defendant Henry's Motion for Review of Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Bond (Doc. 61) is GRANTED IN PART, but only insofar as the Court has reviewed the Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Bond; it is further

ORDERED that Defendant Cook's Motion to Revoke or Amend Magistrate Court's Order of Detention (Doc. 64) is DENIED; it is further

ORDERED that Defendant Henry and Defendant Cook shall continue to be detained without bail.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: December 10, 2010

/s/ Dean Whipple  
Dean Whipple  
United States District Judge